## LST SITE COORDINATOR FEEDBACK SURVEY



Site:					Date:			Boulde
Name:	ame:				Position:			
Number of 1			lved with the LS					
				re-Adoptio		Γ Program		
1. Prior to t	the first year o	of implementati				rces, commitment) was	your district/school to	implemer
	Minimally	Ready A	<b>Little Ready</b> 2		nat Ready	Moderately Ready	Very Ready 5	
2. How ope	n was your dis	strict/school to	new ideas?					
	Minimally	Open A	Little Open		hat Open	<b>Moderately Open</b> 4	Very Open 5	
3. How muc	ch resistance fi	rom key partie	s (e.g., administr	rators, princ	ipals, teache	ers) was encountered in	adopting LST?	
	No Resist	tance	A Little Resistance		desistance	Moderate Resistance 4	A Great Deal of Resistance 5	
						he LST Program		
1. How prep	pared is your s	ite to sustain L	ST beyond this	grant (e.g., a	a plan is in p	place, funding and resor	arces are allocated, etc.	.)?
Not Ready 1	A Little Ready 2	Somewhat Ready 3	Moderately Ready 4	Very Ready 5				
2. How sup	portive of LST	Γ were the adm	inistrators durin	g this schoo	l year?			
Not Open 1	A Little Open 2	Somewhat Open 3	Moderately Open 4	Very Open 5				
3. How muc	ch resistance f	rom teachers w	as encountered	in implemer	nting LST th	nis year?		
No Resista		stance Res		derate sistance 4	A Great I of Resista			
1								

## **Years 1-3: Program Implementation**

Throughout the implementation process, how much have each of the following factors been an asset or a barrier to program implementation? If you mark "significant barrier" or "moderate barrier" please provide more detail regarding specific problems at the end of the section. If answering questions for multiple schools, complete using a general score across schools, but additional information that might pertain to only one school may be provided in the lines.

Significant Barrier	Moderate Barrier	Neither Asset Nor Barrier	Moderate Asset	Significant Asset
5. The LST Program Ove	2 orall	3	4	5
	naterials			1 2 3 4 5
·				
	to implement the program			
•				
•				
1 0				1 2 3 4 3
_	ding the LST program overal			
6. Organizational Charac	cteristics of District/Schools			
6a. Key staff participation i	n planning, decision making	& problem-solving		1 2 3 4 5
6b. Administrative support	and leadership (moral suppor	rt)		1 2 3 4 5
6c. Open lines of communi	cation among district, progra	m staff and teachers		1 2 3 4 5
6d. Fit between LST and ot	her school programs and goa	ls		1 2 3 4 5
6e. Cohesiveness and colla	boration among all key stakel	nolders in program		1 2 3 4 5
6f. Clarity of goals and pro-	cedures			1 2 3 4 5
6g. Clear lines of authority				1 2 3 4 5
6h. Staff stability (lack of s	taff turnover)			1 2 3 4 5
6i. Program coordinator or	"champion" of program			1 2 3 4 5
6j. Adequate school facilitie	es			1 2 3 4 5
6k. Sufficient financial sup	port for teacher training			1 2 3 4 5
61. Sufficient resources allo	ocated for LST materials and	supplies		1 2 3 4 5
6m. Positive school climate	·			1 2 3 4 5
Additional comments regar	ding organizational character	ristics of districts/schools:		

7. Administrative S	upport (e.g., principals	<u>s)</u>					
7a. Buy-in/support of LST at district level							
7b. Buy-in/support of	7b. Buy-in/support of LST at principal level						
7c. Please leave blan	k (CU code)				1 2 3 4 5		
7d. Time to devote to	program coordination (	LST Site Coordinator)			1 2 3 4 5		
7e. Do you anticipate prepare for the next s  ☐ No	chool year?	Γ Leadership Team (i.e., se explain)	site coordinator, co-site	coordinator, school admi	nistrators) as we		
Additional comments	regarding administrativ	ve support:					
8. Teacher Support							
8a. Buy-in/support of	8a. Buy-in/support of LST by teachers						
8b. Teacher motivation to implement LST							
8c. Skill proficiency of teachers (quality of delivery)							
8d. Time available fo	r LST implementation				1 2 3 4 5		
8e. Teachers prioritiz	e LST				1 2 3 4 5		
	th other teachers to disco regarding teacher supp				1 2 3 4 5		
	Yea	rs 1-3: Program Imp If a question is not appli		<u>ued)</u>			
Significant Barrier	Moderate Barrier	Neither Asset Nor Barrier	Moderate Asset	Significant Asset	N/A		
1	2	3	4	5	9		
9. Training and Technical Assistance 9 = N/A							
9a. LST teacher training workshops					1 2 3 4 5		
9b. Ability to ensure that all LST teachers attend the training					1 2 3 4 5		
9c. Knowledgeable and supportive LST trainer					1 2 3 4 5		
9d. Ongoing commun	nication/technical assista	ance with LST			1 2 3 4 5		
9e. Sustainability trai	9e. Sustainability training workshops and TA						
Additional comments	regarding training and	technical assistance:					

10. Commu	unity and Parent Suppor	<u>t</u>					
10a. Communication/coordination with community agencies regarding LST and other prevention programs  10b. Support of community leaders for LST							
							10c. Suppor
10d. Positive	10d. Positive political climate						
Additional c	omments regarding comm	unity and parent s	upport:				
	h Language Needs lents in the LST classes ha		erials in a language	other than English	1?		
	You indicated that you languages?	r students have a i	need for materials	in a language othe	r than English. Which	h	
	How many students are estimate.)	e negatively impac	cted by English-on	ly LST resources?	(Please enter your be	est	
	What do you or teache classes?	rs in your school(s	s) do to meet these	students' language	needs in LST or other	er	
at the Univers	Year questions, please take int sity of Colorado). Your resteedback will help us bette	o consideration yo sponses will be su	bmitted to your site	lder grant impleme s's CU Boulder sup	entation coordinator ( ervisor directly. You	r honest and	
How would y	ou rate your CU Boulder	grant implementat	ion coordinator in	the following areas	?		
12a. Provide	d your site with impleme	ntation support:					
1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excel	lent		
12b. Respons	siveness to your commun	ications:					
1 Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excell			
12c. Clarity	of communications:						
l Poor	2 Fair	3 Good	4 Very Good	5 Excell	ent		
12d. Offered	feedback that contribute		ul delivery of LST	`: 	-		
1 Never	2 Seldom	3 Sometim	nes	4 Often	5 Very Often		
12a How ear	r vour CII Rouldor gront	implomentation	acandinatan impu	ovo thoir sunnort	of cuonoccful deliver	my of LCT of	

 $12e.\ How \ can\ your\ CU\ Boulder\ grant\ implementation\ coordinator\ improve\ their\ support\ of\ successful\ delivery\ of\ LST\ at\ your\ site?$ 

and for all of your contributions to the LifeSkills Training Replication Project!	
Thank you for taking the time to complete this form,	
13. Please share any additional comments about your experiences with the CU Boulder LST program grant.	
12g. Comments about your experience with your CU Boulder grant implementation coordinator:	
No feedback requested.	
Other (please specify):	
Community Buy-In	
Grant/Fidelity Guidelines	
Teacher Buy-In Teacher/Instructional Support	
Administrator Buy-In	
Site Coordinator	
that apply.	

12f. Please indicate specific areas or topics that you would like feedback on to improve LST delivery at your site. Select all

Your CU Boulder Implementation Coordinator University of Colorado, Prevention Science Program 483 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309 [first.last]@colorado.edu

Fax: (303) 492-2151